The Journal of Climate Change and Health 11 (2023) 100219

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Journal of Climate Change and Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/joclim

Research Article

Spatial proximity to wildfires as a proxy for measuring $PM_{2.5}$: A novel method for estimating exposures in rural settings

M. Luke Smith[a,*], Guangqing Chi[a,b,c]

[a] Social Science Research Institute, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA.
[b] Department of Agricultural Economics, Sociology, and Education, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA.
[c] Population Research Institute, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:
Received 4 August 2022
Accepted 5 February 2023
Available online 11 February 2023

Keywords:
Climate change
Human health
Asthma
Alaska

ABSTRACT

Background: : Climate change impacts humans and society both directly and indirectly. Alaska, for example, is warming twice as fast as the global mean, and researchers are starting to grapple with the varied and interconnected ways in which climate change affects the people there. With the number of wildfires increasing in Alaska as a result of climate change, the number of asthma cases has increased, driven by exposure to small particulate matter. However, it is not clear how far away smoke from wildfires can affect health. In this study, we hope to establish a relationship between proximity to wildfires and asthma in locations where direct $PM_{2.5}$ measurement is not easily accomplished.
Methods: : In this study, we examined whether proximity to wildfire exposure is associated with regional counts of adults with asthma, calculated using Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey data and US Census data. We assigned "hotspots" around population centers with a range of various distances to wildfires in Alaska.
Results: : We found that wildfires are associated with asthma prevalence, and the association is strongest within 25 miles of fires.
Conclusions: : This study highlights the fact that proximity to wildfires has potential as a simple proxy for actual measured wildfire smoke, which has important implications for wildfire management agencies and for policy makers who must address health issues associated with wildfires, especially in rural areas.
© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Alaska is warming faster than any other US state, at a rate twice the global mean [1], experiencing warmer winters, decreased summer sea ice, and a dramatic increase in wildfires [2]. In Alaska, major fire years, in which more than a million acres burn, are occurring more frequently—with eight major fire years in the 40-year period from 1950 through 1989, and 11 in the years from 1990 through 2018 [3]. Given a changing climate, the number of these fires is predicted to increase [4], owing to an expected longer fire season [5] and a greater potential risk of more frequent lightning [6].

Asthma is a chronic condition marked by episodic inflammatory response of the airways that go to the lungs [7,8]. Of Alaskans, 9.9% reported current asthma in 2019 [9], higher than the US average of 7.8% [10]. Asthma is a complex, multifactorial disease, with known triggers such as dust or allergens like mold or dander [7,11], as well as tobacco smoke, poor diet, and air pollution [12–15]. Prior research has demonstrated a strong relationship between asthma and particulate matter in smoke created by wildfires [16,17], such as those that are rapidly increasing in Alaska [17,18]. Measurement of pollution exposure is difficult in Alaska because there are only nine pollution monitoring stations [19]. Given the risk of increased wildfires in Alaska and the sparsity of pollution monitoring, the state presents a unique setting to explore novel methods of assigning exposure and measuring the relationship between exposure to wildfire and developing asthma, in order to create a framework for discussion, to support future studies, and to develop intervention measures.

The relationship between wildfire and asthma has been explored many times throughout the United States outside of Alaska, demonstrating a relationship between wildfire and asthma using measures such as more frequent physician visits [20], emergency department visits [21–23], and hospitalization [24]. Only one study has considered the impacts of wildfire in Alaska: In a study of the effects of wildfires on admissions to emergency departments in the somewhat more populous areas of Anchorage, Fairbanks, and the Matanuska –Susitna Valley, Hahn et al.[24] found higher odds of an emergency department visit for asthma on the day of a wildfire, with effects

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: mls7107@psu.edu (M.L. Smith).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2023.100219
2667-2782/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

lasting up to four days after smoke exposure. But there is scant literature on exposure in more rural areas, and prior research looking at exposure in rural locations distant from real-time monitoring stations has necessarily used time- and computing-intensive processes to interpolate satellite data to generate exposure data [25].

Our study looked at the effect of wildfires on counts of asthma in the 11 Alaska Behavioral Health System (BHS) regions from 2007 through 2017 using mapped wildfire boundaries and population center data from the Alaska Bureau of Labor and Statistics to describe the relationship between exposure based on proximity to wildfires and to measure the correlations between this exposure and region-specific asthma counts.

2. Methods

Our outcome of interest is current asthma status for residents of each of the 11 Alaskan BHS regions [26]. These regions have a minimum population of 20,000 in order to provide adequate denominators for research while maintaining personal privacy. Alaska BHS region data identified the percentage of people aged 18 and over with current (prevalent) asthma as those who answered yes to both of the following questions: “Have you ever been told by a doctor [nurse or other health professional] that you have asthma?” and “Do you still have asthma?” [27].

Estimates of the prevalence of asthma in the US were obtained from surveys such as the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, and National Health Interview Surveys [8].

Using US Census GIS shape files [28] and a geographical description from the Alaska Department of Health Services website [26], we created a data file that merged Alaska’s boroughs and census areas into the Alaskan BHS regions. Additionally, we obtained Alaska Bureau of Labor and Workforce Development data about each population center in Alaska to provide additional granularity about the specific population for each town or village in each region [29]. For simplicity, we held the population constant at the 2010 level.

We assigned annual fire exposure based on proximity to wildfires as the distance from population centers to fire boundaries and measured the association between people exposed to wildfire smoke and yearly asthma prevalence in the Alaskan BHS regions. Using Alaska Bureau of Labor Statistics data [29], we plotted each village as a point, using a file with an associated 2010 population. We downloaded fire data from the combined fire database for Alaska and plotted all fires for each year from 2007 through 2017 [30]. Then, using the buffer tool in ArcGIS, we created 12 buffers with radii ranging from 5 to 50 miles at 5-mile intervals, and 60 miles and 70 miles from each named population center in Alaska. If a fire occurred within the specified radius of a village, that village was considered fire exposed for that year. As an example of these buffers, supplemental eFig. 1 shows the fires within 25 miles of population areas; any village whose 25-mile buffer had a fire was considered exposed. Next, we summed the populations of all villages in each Alaskan BHS region [26] that were fire exposed. To assign the asthma population, we used the age-adjusted population asthma prevalence percentage for a given year from the BRFSS data and multiplied it by the total population of the BHS region. We then ran a Pearson’s correlation examining the relationship between a region’s yearly asthma prevalence and the number of fire exposed people in that region for that year.

Data about fire locations were extracted from the Alaska Interagency Coordination Center’s combined fire geodatabase, which plots all fire boundaries in space and time for the period of 1940 through 2020 [30]. All steps were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

3. Results

Examination of the relationship between exposed populations and yearly asthma prevalence showed a positive correlation when including fires within 5 miles of a population center (r = 0.75) up to a radius of 25 miles, at which point the correlation remained relatively flat (r = 0.94). Pearson correlations between population totals of BHS residents for whom a fire occurred within a given radius and the number of that BHS region’s population with current asthma are shown in Fig. 1. As increasingly large radii may capture the entire population of the large



Fig. 1. Pearson’s correlation between the number of people aged 18 and over in Alaskan BHS regions who reported prevalent asthma and the total number of people living in a BHS region who were exposed to fire at radii ranging from 5 to 70 miles from all village centroids.

population centers of Anchorage and Fairbanks, we measured the correlations at all radii excluding those communities. Finally, we tested the correlations both excluding years and places with no fires and treating those values as zeros and including them in the analysis. All correlations are significant at an alpha = 0.05."

4. Discussion

In this study, we found a strong correlation between yearly exposure to fires and higher annual prevalence rates of asthma. Prior research has largely examined point measures of $PM_{2.5}$ smoke and acute effects of wildfire using accurate local monitoring [24,31] in urban population settings where precise measurements are available [32]. We do see evidence that at larger radii, the entire population might be considered exposed, because excluding those communities lowers the correlation. For example, the correlations excluding Anchorage and Fairbanks are lower than those including these larger cities. However, the resulting correlations are still high, and the pattern persists with a fairly consistent effect at a radius of approximately 25 miles." Other research has considered larger areas using computationally intensive modeling that might be ideal for predicting smoke but could be unnecessarily cumbersome for exploratory research [22,33,34]. Our study is the first to use the method of proximity to mapped wildfires to examine effects over a large area, which allows for large area measurement of exposure using a simpler methodology.

In this research, we contribute to the literature by creating a method for an exposure metric based on simple proximity to fires in rural areas, where there is poor direct measurement of pollution by instrumentation and where satellite measurement is currently of poor quality and is computationally intensive. Additionally, this study adds further evidence to the body of literature connecting wildfire smoke to asthma.

This study does have limitations. The BRFSS survey data are annual, so assigning temporality to exposure and outcome is difficult, and the exposure data are ecologic in that they define simple proximity to fire as exposure. Because the outcome data are annual and aggregated to the health region, we were unable to look at the specific effects of a fire on a region or to estimate other potential causes of asthma. These data limitations likewise restrict our analysis to a correlation, especially given asthma is a multifactorial disease. Also, because of the distribution of populations, a large radius will likely capture an entire population which makes for a less useful correlation. Excluding these large population centers weakens the measure of correlation slightly, but the remaining correlations are still strong. However, the effective radius for this method will have a limit and that will have to be considered in future research using this technique. Additionally, the distribution of fire effects is unlikely to be concentric because of weather, wind, and natural features—an issue that could be addressed in future work by incorporating additional data sets. That said, we feel that these limitations are outweighed by the simplicity of our exposure model and its potential for exploratory data analysis that can support future work and provide support for health policy makers working on programs that address the common yet costly disease of asthma, as well as extending this research to additional outcomes associated with fires such as emergency department visits where $PM_{2.5}$ cannot be directly measured. This work can contribute to policy discussions about health resource allocation for rural communities affected by fires and inform clinicians and patients about asthma management in locations where smoke is not explicitly measured because of a lack of adequate pollution monitoring.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (Awards #1927827, #2032790, #2207436, and #2220219), the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture and Multistate Research Project #PEN04623 (Accession #1013257), and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (Award # P2C HD041025).

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.joclim.2023.100219.

References

[1] Reidmiller, D.R.; Avery, C.W.; Easterling, D.R.; Kunkel, K.E.; Lewis, K.L.M.; Maycock, T.K.; et al. Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II 2017.
[2] Reiss, R. Barrow, Alaska: Ground Zero for Climate Change Available online: https://www.smithsonianmag.com/science-nature/barrow-alaska-ground-zero-for-climate-change-7553696/ (accessed on Mar 2, 2023).
[3] Law, T. About 2.5 Million Acres in Alaska Have Burned. The State's Wildfire Seasons Are Getting Worse, Experts Say. Available online: https://time.com/5657188/alaska-fires-long-climate-change/ (accessed on Mar 2, 2023).
[4] Yoder S. Assessment of the potential health impacts of climate change in Alaska. State Alaska Epidemiol Bull 2018;20:69.
[5] Jones MW, Abatzoglou JT, Veraverbeke S, Andela N, Lasslop G, Forkel M, et al. Global and Regional Trends and Drivers of Fire Under Climate Change. Rev Geophys 2022;60 e2020RG000726.
[6] Bieniek PA, Bhatt US, York A, Walsh JE, Lader R, Strader H, et al. Lightning Variability in Dynamically Downscaled Simulations of Alaska's Present and Future Summer Climate. J Appl Meteorol Climatol 2020;59:1139–52.
[7] Sockrider M, Fussner L. What Is Asthma? Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;202:P25–6.
[8] Harver A, Kotses H. Asthma, health and society: a public health perspective. New York: Springer; 2010 New York ISBN 9780387782850.
[9] Centers for Disease Control; Most Recent Asthma State or Territory Data (2019) Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most_recent_data_states.htm (accessed on Jul 9, 2021).
[10] Centers for Disease Control; Most Recent National Asthma Data (2019) Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most_recent_national_asthma_data.htm (accessed on Jul 9, 2021).
[11] American Academy of Allergy Asthma & Immunology; Asthma Definition Available 2021;online https://www.aaaai.org/conditions-and-treatments/conditions-dictionary/asthma (accessed on Nov 11, 2022).
[12] Malo J-L, Chan-Yeung M. Occupational asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108:317–28.
[13] Strachan DP, Cook DG. Health effects of passive smoking .5. Parental smoking and allergic sensitisation in children. Thorax 1998;53:117–23.
[14] Strachan DP, Cook DG. Health effects of passive smoking. 6. Parental smoking and childhood asthma: longitudinal and case-control studies. Thorax 1998;53:204–12.
[15] Education National Asthma. Prevention Program (National Heart and Blood Institute). Third Expert Panel on the Management of Asthma., L. Expert Panel Rep 3 2007 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute US.
[16] Mirabelli MC, Künzli N, Avol E, Gilliland FD, Gauderman WJ, McConnell R, et al. Respiratory symptoms following wildfire smoke exposure: airway size as a susceptibility factor. Epidemiology 2009;20:451–9.
[17] Reid CE, Brauer M, Johnston FH, Jerrett M, Balmes JR, Elliott CT. Critical Review of Health Impacts of Wildfire Smoke Exposure. Environ Health Perspect 2016;124:1334–43.
[18] De Sario M, Katsouyanni K, Michelozzi P. Climate change, extreme weather events, air pollution and respiratory health in Europe. Eur Respir J 2013;42:826–43.
[19] Alaska Division of Air Quality Alaska Air Quality Index (AQI) Available online: https://dec.alaska.gov/air/air-monitoring/alaska-air-quality-real-time-data (accessed on Sep 26, 2022).
[20] Henderson SB, Brauer M, Macnab YC, Kennedy SM. Three measures of forest fire smoke exposure and their associations with respiratory and cardiovascular health outcomes in a population-based cohort. Environ Health Perspect 2011;119:1266–71.
[21] Duclos P, Sanderson LM, Lipsett M. The 1987 forest fire disaster in California: assessment of emergency room visits. Arch Environ Health 1990;45:53–8.
[22] Alman BL, Pfister G, Hao H, Stowell J, Hu X, Liu Y, et al. The association of wildfire smoke with respiratory and cardiovascular emergency department visits in Colorado in 2012: a case crossover study. Environ Heal 2016;15:64.
[23] Johnston FH, Purdie S, Jalaludin B, Martin KL, Henderson SB, Morgan GG. Air pollution events from forest fires and emergency department attendances in Sydney, Australia 1996–2007: a case-crossover analysis. Environ Heal 2014;13:105.
[24] Gan RW, Ford B, Lassman W, Pfister G, Vaidyanathan A, Fischer E, et al. Comparison of wildfire smoke estimation methods and associations with cardiopulmonary-related hospital admissions. GeoHealth 2017;1:122–36.

[25] Stowell JD, Geng G, Saikawa E, Chang HH, Fu J, Yang C-E, et al. Associations of wildfire smoke PM2.5 exposure with cardiorespiratory events in Colorado 2011−2014. Environ Int 2019;133:105151.
[26] Alaska Department of Health Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Center for Health Data and Statistics. BRFSS: Behavioral Health Systems Regions| Informed Alaskans, Center for Health Data and Statistics, Division of Public Health Available online: https://health.alaska.gov/dph/Chronic/Pages/Data/geo_bhs.aspx (accessed on Mar 2, 2023).
[27] Centers for Disease Control; Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) Prevalence Data Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/index.html (accessed on Aug 17, 2021).
[28] United States Census Bureau 2010 Tiger/Line Shapefiles [machine-readable data files] Available online: https://www.census.gov/geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-line-file.html.
[29] Department of Labor and Workforce Development: Research and Analysis Population Estimates Available online: https://live.laborstats.alaska.gov/pop/index.cfm (accessed on Jun 5, 2022).
[30] Alaska Interagency Coordination Center; Predictive Services - Maps/Imagery/ Geospatial Available online: https://fire.ak.blm.gov/predsvcs/maps.php (accessed on Mar 2, 2023).
[31] Aguilera R, Corringham T, Gershunov A, Leibel S, Benmarhnia T. Fine Particles in Wildfire Smoke and Pediatric Respiratory Health in California. Pediatrics 2021;147:e2020027128.
[32] Dominici F, McDermott A, Daniels M, Zeger SL, Samet JM. Revised Analyses of the National Morbidity, Mortality, and Air Pollution Study: Mortality Among Residents Of 90 Cities. J Toxicol Environ Heal Part A 2005;68:1071–92.
[33] Liu JC, Wilson A, Mickley LJ, Dominici F, Ebisu K, Wang Y, et al. Wildfire-specific Fine Particulate Matter and Risk of Hospital Admissions in Urban and Rural Counties. Epidemiology 2017;28:77–85.
[34] Zou Y, O'Neill SM, Larkin NK, Alvarado EC, Solomon R, Mass C, et al. Machine Learning-Based Integration of High-Resolution Wildfire Smoke Simulations and Observations for Regional Health Impact Assessment. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16:2137.